
Government Surveillance: Violation Of Civil Rights

Many citizens claim that the government is violating their civil rights by using their personal data for legitimate purposes. What is more important? Is it the fact that they should have the privacy for texting their mates? Or is it the fact that the government is protecting their lives from potential danger? Government surveillance is essential to public safety and terrorism prevention. The government's collection of data is not a violation of civil rights because it deters criminals from harming the public and it causes no harm for an individual.

The government's collection of data is not a violation of civil rights because it ensures public safety. The government uses advanced programs that pick up dangerous keywords to prevent terrorist attacks. As it states in "Strong Oversight of Intelligence Gathering Protects Civil Rights", by Joel "the telephone metadata program conducted under the "business records" provision of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act [FISA] ... officially titled the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001]), and the collection of communications from foreign intelligence targets who are non-U.S. persons located outside the United States." This proves the government has reasonable purposes to access phones' data to prevent terrorist plots. In addition, it states, "Under the phone metadata program, the government obtains and reviews phone records only to identify whether telephones associated with a foreign terrorist organization are in communication with a telephone inside the United States (directly or indirectly)." which clarifies why in some cases the government will access a citizen's information. The government's access to personal data is not a violation of civil rights because it causes no harm to an individual. Citizens usually become outraged at the government without understanding the concepts of the legislation. Furthermore, by using social media they actually allow the companies to access their personal data. As it states in "Strong Oversight of Intelligence Gathering Protects Civil Rights", by Joel "Under the Section 702 program, the government can only obtain foreign intelligence

Many citizens claim that the government is violating their civil rights by using their personal data for legitimate purposes. What is more important? Is it the fact that they should have the privacy for texting their mates? Or is it the fact that the government is protecting their lives from potential danger? Government surveillance is essential to public safety and terrorism prevention. The government's collection of data is not a violation of civil rights because it deters criminals from harming the public and it causes no harm for an individual.

The government's collection of data is not a violation of civil rights because it ensures public safety. The government uses advanced programs that pick up dangerous keywords to prevent terrorist attacks. As it states in "Strong Oversight of Intelligence Gathering Protects Civil Rights", by Joel "the telephone metadata program conducted under the "business records" provision of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act [FISA] ... officially titled the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001]), and the collection of communications from foreign intelligence targets who are non-U.S. persons located outside the United States." This proves the government has reasonable purposes to access phones' data to prevent terrorist plots. In addition, it states, "Under the phone metadata program, the government obtains and reviews phone records only

to identify whether telephones associated with a foreign terrorist organization are in communication with a telephone inside the United States (directly or indirectly).” which clarifies why in some cases the government will access a citizen’s information.

The government’s access to personal data is not a violation of civil rights because it causes no harm to an individual. Citizens usually become outraged at the government without understanding the concepts of the legislation. Furthermore, by using social media they actually allow the companies to access their personal data. As it states in “Strong Oversight of Intelligence Gathering Protects Civil Rights”, by Joel “Under the Section 702 program, the government can only obtain foreign intelligence information as defined by law.... This authority cannot be used to intentionally target United States persons or anyone inside the United States.” Surveillance used unbiased is one of the best ways to deter terrorist plots. In addition, it states. “Under the Section 702 program, the government can only obtain foreign intelligence information as defined by law. This authority cannot be used to intentionally target United States persons or anyone inside the United States.” this proves that citizens’ rights are protected and no unlawful judgment will be held against them.

The government has conducted domestic surveillance to protect its nation and its citizens while keeping legislation in mind. If an individual has nothing to hide then there is no reason to be too skeptical about the government’s work. People should understand that their government officials are working for their benefit and not to harm their own citizens.